# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATL OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

# IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

# No. W1,W2,W3 & W4 Contested Case No. W1-11-003247

### MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for the San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992.

This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. <u>114-04-DCA -002</u> (please insert no.)		ogued Well		
		en rige		
OBJECTOR INFORMATION		200		1
Objectoria Names		64,000,000		
Objector's Name: <u>Salt River Project</u>		**** [	F17 '	
Objector's Address: Post Office Box 52025		Mana a	Ċ.	
Phoepix Arizona RE072 00	25			- C2
(602) = 236 - 2210		4 Y A	rentidanga	C3 - 73
Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (If the Objector's claimed wate River Watershed):	r rights a	are within	the	San Pedro
Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in	n Volume {	B of the H	SR):	
Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objecture of the				
Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located 39–07_01040, 01041, 01206, 01207, 01998	d outside	the San Pe	dro i	Watershed):
39-05 50053, 50054, 50055				
39-L8_ <u>35212</u> , <u>35213</u>	W/10/10/00/00/00/00/00			
37 <u>10</u> <u>-33212</u> , 32213				
STATE OF <u>Arizona</u>				
COUNTY OF Maricopa VERIFICATION (must be completed	by object	or)		
hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, copy of the foregoing Objection was served that I have read the contents of t	renrecont	ative of a	-1-5	this mant;

required, copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the <u>14</u>th day of <u>May</u>, 199<u>2</u>, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name: BLM - SAFFORD DISTRICT

Address: <u>425 E. 4TH STREET</u>

SAFFORD, AZ 85546

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report, or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.) I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on by own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believen them to be true.

IN

Signature of Objector or Objector's Representative

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 1st day of May, 1992.

Notary Public for the State of Anizona

Residing at Maricopa County

OFFICIAL SEAL LINDA JEPPERSON My commission expires Notany Public - Siste of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY My Comm. Expires March 24, 1995

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix Az 85009, on or before May 18, 1992. Watershed File Report: 114-04-DCA -002 Vol-Tab-Pg 6-2-027 BLM - SAFFORD DISTRICT

### **ATTACHMENT 1**

# WFR CATEGORY 9 - QUANTITIES OF USE

The Salt River Project objects to the quantities of use assigned to this Potential Water Right (PWR). The maximum observed and regional methods used by DWR for determining quantities of use for certain agricultural irrigation PWRs are inconsistent with the Arizona doctrine of prior appropriation; these methods are also technically inaccurate. The maximum potential method used by DWR for determining quantities of use is consistent with Arizona law; however, several technical corrections are necessary. For an additional discussion of the problems associated with DWR's methods of quantification for this type of PWR, see the Salt River Project's Volume 1 objections to these methods, a copy of which is attached to this objection and incorporated herein by reference (1020). This objection applies

\* \* \* \*

The Salt River Project objects to the failure of DWR to calculate a diversion rate for this Potential Water Right (PWR). All PWRs assigned a point or points of diversion should be assigned a separate diversion rate for each point of diversion. Diversion rates should be calculated at the point of diversion and should include conveyance losses (1010). This objection applies to: IR001.

### EXCERPT FROM SALT RIVER PROJECT OBJECTIONS TO VOLUME 1 OF THE SAN PEDRO RIVER HSR

IRRIGATION QUANTITY ESTIMATES

(page numbers refer to Volume 1)

### INTRODUCTION

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation methods and results for irrigation water quantities for the following reasons:

First, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's estimation of water duty under both the "maximum observed" and "regional" methods. In the absence of decreed rights, which must be accepted by the court in the absence of abandonment, Arizona law requires that the extent of an appropriative right be measured according to the quantity of water that the appropriator diverted for beneficial use since the time of the appropriation. A.R.S. § 45-141(B) ("Beneficial use shall be the basis, measure and limit to the use of water"). Neither the "maximum observed" or "regional" quantification methods employed by DWR properly estimate maximum actual historical beneficial use as required by law.

The Salt River Project supports DWR's estimation of water duty using the "maximum potential" method since, in the absence of sufficient historical records, this method properly estimates maximum actual historical beneficial use.

Second, DWR's method to compute maximum observed water duty does not accurately estimate maximum actual historical beneficial use since it incorporates inaccurate crop irrigation requirements, deficit irrigation, five years or less of crop history, or overly high efficiency estimates.

Third, although DWR has developed new terminology in reporting regional water duties, DWR still uses the Arizona Groundwater Code method of "areas of similar farming conditions" (ASFC), now termed "regional farming conditions" (RFC). The RFC method assigns a weighted average consumptive use requirement to the water duty equation based upon the types of crops recently grown by appropriators in a designated area. Historical information or records evincing an individual claimant's actual cropping patterns and the quantities of water actually used to cultivate such crops since the time of appropriation are not considered. In fact, the Court noted that "[average efficient use] is not directly related to what is the property's water right[s] . . . " (Entitlement Order at 6). Under the prior appropriation doctrine, an appropriator who has grown alfalfa on his property historically is entitled to a water duty that will support alfalfa, regardless of the crops that he or his neighbors are currently growing. Under DWR's "averaging" approach, an appropriator in this situation would be assigned an apparent entitlement inadequate to meet crop needs.

### Effective Precipitation pp. C-38, C-40 through C-49

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's method of estimating nongrowing season effective precipitation. The procedure used neglects runoff, uses soil constant values that are highly variable and not well quantified, and is unclear about assumptions of initial soil moisture conditions for each month. Published methods can be used to estimate non-growing season effective precipitation for the winter months, the relevant period for most crops. Furthermore, the Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of a 50 percent probability of precipitation, which results in an inadequate supply in one-half of the years. A 50 percent probability indicates that average effective precipitation is subtracted from crop consumptive use when DWR calculates the irrigation This means that in years of below-average precipitation, requirement. irrigation users would be unable to replace the lack of precipitation with additional irrigation water. The amount of precipitation that is available 80 percent of the time for field crops and 90 percent of the time for orchards and vegetables is appropriate.

### Crop Coefficients p. C-33

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of 0.8 as the kc for Winter Pasture. Winter Pasture is a cool-season grass mixture that has a higher crop coefficient than a warm-season grass. SRP also objects to DWR's use of the mean of kc1 and kc3 as a value for kc2, instead of interpolation. Both FAO-24 and University of California Leaflet 21427 specify interpolation.

### Alfalfa Stand Establishment

### p. C-37

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to include water for alfalfa stand establishment as an "Other Need."

### Deficit Irrigation

## pp. C-4, C-5, C-54 through C-68

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of deficit irrigation values for the maximum observed quantification for water right entitlements. As noted above, maximum actual historical beneficial use is the proper measure of a water right entitlement, not current practice.

### Efficiency Estimates

# pp. 138-140, C-51 through C-54

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's omission of the effect of a rotation delivery system on On-Farm Irrigation Efficiency. A rotation delivery system reduces On-Farm Irrigation Efficiency below that which can be achieved if irrigation water is available on demand.

The Salt River Project also objects to DWR's use of average estimated values of irrigation efficiency for regional quantification. The use of average efficiencies understates entitlements for one-half of all irrigated acres on this basis alone.

Additionally, under the RFC concept, the efficiency of various irrigation methods is averaged among appropriators, thus further exacerbating the inadequate water duty for the appropriator who does not have a system with above-average efficiency.

Fourth, there are a number of technical errors in DWR's calculation of crop consumptive use including the use of a five year crop history, adjusted weather data, relative humidity, growing season, effective precipitation, crop coefficients, alfalfa stand establishment, deficit irrigation, and efficiency estimates.

### Five Year Crop History

# pp. 146-151, C-18, C-19, C-68 through C-78

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of its five year investigation period for computing acreages irrigated for maximum observed quantification and for computing crop irrigation water requirements for both maximum observed and regional quantifications. Indeed, it appears that DWR has relied heavily on a single year (1990) of crop survey data. The information developed from a single year, or five year period, cannot be used to properly estimate actual historical beneficial use since low consumptive use crops or no crop may be present during the period. Thus, historical cropping practices or completion of a crop rotation are not reflected.

### Adjusted Weather Data

### pp. C-6 through C-19

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's adjustment of weather station temperatures from recorded values and relative humidities from estimated values. The temperature and relative humidity adjustment procedure is intended for prediction of crop water requirements for large, new irrigation developments where the current observations are from a nearby non-irrigated area. Because of the "clothesline" configuration of San Pedro irrigated areas in relation to the extremely arid surrounding environment, it is extremely doubtful there is any moderating effect due to surrounding irrigated land or to the San Pedro River.

### Relative Humidity

# pp. C-9, C-17, C-25, C-29, C-34, C-92

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's failure to specify whether it used <u>minimum</u> relative humidity as specified in Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) Paper 24. Minimum relative humidity is not reported by Sellers and Hill. Furthermore, their 6 p.m. (1800 hours) data must be adjusted downward to reflect lower humidity in midafternoon. The proper publication date for <u>Arizona Climate</u>, 1931-1972, by Sellers and Hill, is 1974.

### Growing Season

### pp. C-20, C-24

The Salt River Project objects to DWR's use of field observations during one or just a few years to estimate the length of growing season for perennial crops. A few field observations of irrigation dates do not define the water use period because water use occurs both before and after irrigation and because growing seasons vary from year to year. Growing seasons can best be determined for perennial crops by a relationship between plant growth and mean temperature or mean date of low temperatures over an extended period of record.

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

# IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

Contested Case File: W111003247

Tł	DATORY FORM FOR OBJECTION The Hydrographic Survey Report 1 The San Pedro River Watershed	for	92 WAY	S
a computer facsimile, is required. Objection	Vatershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Cata HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objecti ns must be received on or before May 18, 1992. unty, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345	ions must be written. Use of	f this form, or	FILER, O
This objection is directed to Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.	114-04-DCA-002	or Catalogued Well No.	:07	REAR
	(please insert no.)	(please insert no.)		
Objector's Name:	OBJECTOR INFORMATION			
United States Department of the				
Interior, Bureau of Land Management				
Objector's Address: Arizona State Office 3707 North 7th Street				
P.O. Box 16563				
Phoenix, AZ 85011				
Objector's Telephone No.: (602) 640-5512				

Objector's Watershed File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed):

111-19-009

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR):

Or Objector's Statement of Claimant No. (if the Objector's claimed water rights are located outside the San Pedro River Watershed):

### STATE OF ARIZONA

### COUNTY OF MARICOPA

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the <u>18<sup>th</sup></u> day of <u>May</u>, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name: BLM - SAFFORD DISTRICT

Address: 425 E. 4TH STREET SAFFORD AZ 85546

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your <u>own</u> Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report, or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

VERIFICATION(must be completed by objector)

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true

to be true.

Signature of Objector or Objector's Representative

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this day of May, 1992.

My Commission Expires June 27, 1993

Page 2

#### STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

[XX]	1.	I object to the description of Land Ownership.
[XX]	2.	l object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees.
[XX]	3.	l object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees.
[]	4.	I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s).
[XX]	5.	I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s).
[]	6.	I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s).
[]	7.	l object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s).
[XX]	8.	l object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s).
[XX]	9.	I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s).
[XX]	10.	l object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s).
[ ]	11.	Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection).

### **REASON FOR OBJECTION**

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

- 1. The Bureau of Land Management objects to inaccurate ownership status reported by ADWR in this Watershed File Report. If the court determines that the entitlement must be held by the landowner, the United States respectfully requests the court to substitute the Bureau of Land Management as the owner of the entitlement so the priority date is not forfeited and a hardship is not worked on the lessee or permittee claimant. (310, 320)
- 2. Some of the claims submitted under the requirements of the adjudication were not identified or evaluated by ADWR. (400)

There are uses for water listed under this Watershed File Report that have not been accurately identified. (810)

The quantity of water use listed by the claimant and\or evaluated by ADWR under this Watershed File Report is inaccurate and should be adjusted prior to the final adjudication. (1010)

3. The Bureau of Land Management objects to ADWR's incomplete or inaccurate creation or preparation of Potential Water Rights (PWR's). (220)

The Bureau of Land Management objects to ADWR's inaccurate analysis of information provided in the pre-filings and/or filings listed in this Watershed File Report. (410, 471)

There are uses for water listed under this Watershed File Report that have not been accurately identified. (810)

- 5. ADWR failed to identify and/or associate filings and/or prefilings with water uses under this Watershed File Report. (410)
- 8. The Bureau of Land Management objects to ADWR's incomplete or inaccurate creation or preparation of Potential Water Rights (PWR's). (220)

Page 3

Some of the claims submitted under the requirements of the adjudication were not identified or evaluated by ADWR. (400)

ADWR failed to identify and/or associate filings and/or prefilings with water uses under this Watershed File Report. (410)

ADWR incorrectly indicated that there was no water use at some of the locations listed by the claimant. The claimant has information that refutes ADWR's assessment. (720)

There are uses for water listed under this Watershed File Report that have not been accurately identified. (810)

The priority date assigned to some of the uses listed under this Watershed File Report are inaccurate. (920)

9. Some of the claims submitted under the requirements of the adjudication were not identified or evaluated by ADWR. (400)

ADWR incorrectly indicated that there was no water use at some of the locations listed by the claimant. The claimant has information that refutes ADWR's assessment. (720)

The quantity of water use listed by the claimant and\or evaluated by ADWR under this Watershed File Report is inaccurate and should be adjusted prior to the final adjudication. (1010)

10. The Bureau of Land Management objects to the fact that ADWR has inaccurately or incompletely explained information related to this Watershed File Report. (210)

ADWR incorrectly indicated that there was no water use at some of the locations listed by the claimant. The claimant has information that refutes ADWR's assessment. (720)

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE C. ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

# IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

Well Report, Catalogued Well report; or to information contained in

Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.)

# MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO

No. W111003247

10

The Hydrographic Survey Report for

The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992.

This objection is direct	ted to Watershed			or Catalogued We	II No	-R	
File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No.		11404DCA 002		o. Dataloguee We		Billion and Alexandre	
		(please insert no.)		(please insert no.)		analysis	
#1;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;						cu r	
		OBJEC	TOR INFORMA	TION		1.700	n Sim
Obiostor's Norma						antropy (	
Objector's Name:	Gila River Indian Community			Apache Tribe; Yavapai-	Apache Indian Commun	ity, Camp Ver	de Reservation
	C/O Cox & Cox		irks & Siler, P.C.			ŝ	Sm2
Objector's Address:	Suite 300 Luhrs Tower, P.O. Bo						( 70 ×
	Phoenix, AZ 85030		le, AZ 85251				
Objector's Telephone:	: (602) 254-7207	(602) 94	9-1988				
biector's Watershed	File Report or Zone 2 Well Report	No. (if the Objector's a	laimed water rights a				
,		r no. (ii the objectors c	laimed water rights ar	e within the San Pedro I	River Watershed):		
)r Objector's Catalogu	ued Well Number (if the Objector's	claimed water rights a	opear only in Volume	8 of the HSR);			
		_					
	nt of Claimant No. (if the Objector	's claimed water rights a	are located outside the	e San Pedro River Wate	rshed):		
39-11-0547	78 39-05-41142	39-07-12652	39-07-12676	39-05-50058	39-07-12169		
39-U8-600	83 39-L8-36340	39-L8-37360	<b>39-U8</b> -63614	39-07-12675	39-05-50059		
TATE OF ARIZO	ONA			-			
	VERIF	ICATION (must be	completed by objecto	r)			
OUNTY OF MA	RICOPA			•)			
			I declare under per	jury that I am a claimanl	in this proceeding or th	e dulv-author	rized
hereby make this Obj	ection. I certify that, if required, a	copy of the	representative of a	claimant; that I have rea	ad the contents of this O	biection (bot)	h sides
	is served upon the following Claim	nant(s) by	representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the				
ailing true and correc	t copies thereof on theday	of	Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection				
ay, 1992, postage pre	epaid and addressed as follows:		which are indicated	as being known to me	on information and belie	f and, as to #	nose portions
			I believe them to be	e true.		, ao to t	poruona,
lame: BLM - SAF	FORD DISTRICT		$\bigcap \partial \partial$	1 10	-		-
			- uqu	W. J. Co	X S		200-
ddress: 425 E. 4TH	STREET		0	1			The second
			Signature of Object	or or Objector's Repres	entative	(	_
SAFFORD	AZ 85546			and a selector of represe			
			SUBSCRIBED AND	SWORN to before me	this day of		
			May 1992.	0 0			
The above section mu	st be completed if you object to an	nother	<u> </u>	men K Pa	stal		
aimant's Watershed F	ile Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or	r	Notary Public for th	e State of Arizona	)	MALLINGELTD .	
Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if			V	CHILD .	OFFICIAL SEAL	<b>.</b>	
you file an objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2				AME JANE	S ROBERT RITTERHOUSI	E	

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

Ē

Notary Public - State of Arizona MARICOPA COUNTY

My Comm. Expires Jan. 5, 1994

### Watershed File Report: 114-04-DCA -002 Vol-Tab-Pg 6-2-027 BLM - SAFFORD DISTRICT

# STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

- [] 1. I object to the description of LAND OWNERSHIP
- [] 2. I object to the description of APPLICABLE FILINGS AND DECREES
- [] 3. I object to the description of DWR's ANALYSIS OF FILINGS AND DECREES
- [] 4. I object to the description of the DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 5. I object to the description of the USES for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 6. I object to the description of RESERVOIRS used for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 7. I object to the description of SHARED USES & DIVERSIONS for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 8. I object to the PWR (POTENTIAL WATER RIGHT) SUMMARY of the claimed water right(s)
- [X] 9. I object to the description of the QUANTITIES OF USE for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 10. I object to the EXPLANATION provided for the claimed water right(s)
- [] 11. Other Objections (please state volume number, page number and line number for each objection)

# **REASON FOR OBJECTION**

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

#### CATEGORY NUMBER

	SEE ATTACHMENT 1
	In this attachment the uniform code designated by the
	Special Master in accordance with Case Management
	Order No. 1 is shown in parenthesis following each
ferenden and an and a second	objection statement.

Attachment to Objection re BLM Safford District WFR# 114-04-DCA-002

2. HSR does not show Water Rights Registration for each Zone 1 Potential Water Right. (420)

- Use of the water claimed depletes water for senior federal and Indian water rights (1150)
- 9. HSR does not show claimed water use rate. (1000)
- 10. Claimed uses were not found by DWR. (830)

# IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

# IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

# MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for

The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for **each** Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be **received** on or before May 18, 1992.

This objection is direc File Report or Zone 2 11404DCA 002		or Catalogued Well No.	00	FILE
		OBJECTOR INFORMATION		
Objector's Name: Objector's Address; Objector's Telephone:	Gila River Indian Community C/O Cox & Cox Suite 300 Luhrs Tower, P.O. Box 4245 Phoenix, AZ 85030 (602) 254-7207	San Carlos Apache Tribe;Tonto Apache Tribe; Yavapai-Apache Indian Commun C/O Sparks & Siler, P.C. 7503 First Street Scottsdale, AZ 85251 (602) 949-1988	nity, Camp	NP m 20
Objector's Watershed	File Report or Zone 2 Well Report No. (if the	Objector's claimed water rights are within the San Pedro River Watershed):		

Or Objector's Catalogued Well Number (if the Objector's claimed water rights appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR):

Or Objector's Statement of Cla	aimant No. (if the Object	or's claimed water right	s are located outside th	e San Pedro River Wat	ambod):
39-11-05478	39-05-41142	39-07-12652	39-07-12676	39-05-50058	39-07-12169
39-U8-60083	39-L8-36340	39-L8-37360	39-U8-63614	39-07-12675	39-05-50059

STATE OF ARIZONA

COUNTY OF MARICOPA

VERIFICATION (must be completed by objector)

I hereby make this Objection. I certify that, if required, a copy of the foregoing Objection was served upon the following Claimant(s) by mailing true and correct copies thereof on the day of May, 1992, postage prepaid and addressed as follows:

Name: BLM - SAFFORD DISTRICT

Address: 425 E. 4TH STREET

SAFFORD AZ 85546

(The above section must be completed if you object to another claimant's Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued Well Report. It does not need to be completed if you file an objection to your **own** Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well report; or to information contained in Volume 1 of the Hydrographic Survey Report.) I declare under perjury that I am a claimant in this proceeding or the duly-authorized representative of a claimant; that I have read the contents of this Objection (both sides and any attachments) and know the contents thereof; and that the information contained in the Objection is true based on my own personal knowledge, except those portions of the Objection which are indicated as being known to me on information and belief and, as to those portions, I believe them to be true.

No. W111003247

-

Signature of Objector or Objector's Representative

SUBSCRIBEDAND SWORN to before me this 4 day of May 1992

Notary Public for the State of Arizona



Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

# STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

- 1. I object to the description of Land Ownership
- X 2. I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
- 3. I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
- X 4. I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- 5. I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)
- 6. I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
- 7. I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
- 8. I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
- X 9. I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
- 10. I object to the Explanation provided for the unclaimed water right(s)
- 11. Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection)

### **REASON FOR OBJECTION**

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attached supporting information and additional pages as necessary. The following objection(s) are based upon information and belief:

### CATEGORY

NUMBER

- 4 The use of the water claimed depletes water for senior federal and Indian water rights (1150).
- 2 HSR does not show a well registration filing (420).

9 HSR does not show a claimed water use rate (1000).

24	MITHIODEY CONKLA
1	Notary Public - Sister M Autoria
	WAES ROBERT RITTERHOUSE
	<b>心比較的"物"。</b> 它們有"

#### JPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF . IN TH ZONA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

# IN RE THE GENERAL ADJUDICATION OF ALL RIGHTS TO USE WATER IN THE GILA RIVER SYSTEM AND SOURCE

No. W1,W2,W3 & W4 W1-11-003247

### MANDATORY FORM FOR OBJECTIONS TO The Hydrographic Survey Report for The San Pedro River Watershed

Please file a separate objection for each Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report or Catalogued Well Report. Objections to information contained in Volume 1 of the HSR can be stated on one objection form. Objections must be written. Use of this form, or a computer facsimile, is required. Objections must be received on or before May 18, 1992.

This objection is directed to Wat	ershed		
File Report or Zone 2 Well Repo	ort No <u>114</u> - <u>04</u> - <u>DCA</u> - <u>002</u>	or Catalogued Well No.	92 2
	( please insert no. )	(please insert no.)	T A
	OBJECTOR INFOR	RMATION	
Objector's Address:	Magma Copper Company (1267) 7400 North Oracle Rd Suite 200 Fucson, Arizona 85704	) ASARCO Incorporated (1263 P.O. Box 8 Hayden, Arizona 85235	B) PN 1:5
Objector's Telephone No.: (	(602) 575-5600 ies and telephone numbers of Objectors' at	(602) 356-7811 torneys are on the back of this form.	- Z -
		claimed water rights are within the San Pedro Riv	ver Watershed):
Or Objector's Catalogued Well No	umber (if the Objector's claimed water right <u>NOT APPLICA</u>	is appear only in Volume 8 of the HSR): BLE	
Or Objector's Statement of Claim	ant No. (if the Objector's claimed water righ 39 - <u>NOT APPLIC</u>	nts are located outside the San Pedro River Wa	tershed):
STATE OF _ARIZONA			
COUNTY OF	VERIFICATIO	(must be completed by objector)	
I hereby make this Objection. I of forgoing Objection was served upo true and correct copies thereof on <u>Maγ</u> , 199 <u>2</u> , postage prep	certify that, if required, a copy of the n the following Claimant(s) by mailing n the <u>11th</u> day of paid and addressed as follows:	I declare under penalty of perjury that I am a proceeding or the duly-authorized repres claimant; that I have read the contents of (both sides and any attachments) and know thereof; and that the information contained in is true based on my own personal knowledge	entative of a this Objection v the contents a the Objection
Name BLM - SAFFORD 425 E. 4TH STRE Address SAFFORD, AZ 85	ET	portions of the Objection which are indica known to me on information and belief and portions. believe them to be true.	ated as being d, as to those
Major user code:	281	Signature of Objector's Representative (Mag	S- RCO
(The above section must be co claimant's Watershed File Repo Well Report. It does not need	mpleted if you object to another nt, Zone 2 Well Report, or Catalogued to be completed if you file an	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me to of <u>May</u> <u>1992</u> . <u>Mananue</u> Junican St	

A TOTAL	OFFICIAL SEAL
(Manan A)	MARIANNE DUNCAN SHIPPE
E CON	Notary Public - State of Arizon
	MARICOPA COUNTY
	My Comm. Expires July 17, 199

Objections must be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court in and for Maricopa County, Maricopa County Courthouse Annex, 3345 W. Durango Street, Phoenix, AZ 85009, on or before May 18, 1992.

objection to your own Watershed File Report, Zone 2 Well Report, Catalogued Well Report; or to information contained in Volume 1 of

the Hydrographic Survey Report)

E

### STATEMENT OF THE OBJECTION

The following are the main categories of the typical Watershed File Report (Zone 2 Well Reports and some Watershed File Reports lack certain categories). Please check the category(ies) to which you object, and state the reason for the objection on the back of this form.

	1.	I object to the description of Land Ownership
	2.	I object to the description of Applicable Filings and Decrees
	3.	I object to the description of DWR's Analysis of Filings and Decrees
×	4.	I object to the description of Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
	5.	I object to the description of Uses for the claimed water right(s)
	6.	I object to the description of Reservoirs used for the claimed water right(s)
	7.	I object to the description of Shared Uses & Diversions for the claimed water right(s)
X	8.	I object to the PWR (Potential Water Right) Summary of the claimed water right(s)
	9.	I object to the description of Quantities of Use for the claimed water right(s)
	10.	l object to the Explanation provided for the claimed water right(s)
X	11.	Other Objections (please state volume, page and line number for each objection)

### **REASON FOR OBJECTION**

The reason for my objection is as follows (please number your objections to correspond to the boxes checked above; please attach supporting information and additional pages as necessary):

Category Number: 4, 8 and 11

Magma Copper Company ("Magma") and ASARCO Incorporated ("ASARCO") submit this objection as co-objectors.

Magma and ASARCO object to the inclusion of groundwater in this Adjudication because groundwater is neither appropriable under Arizona law (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 500, 510, 1120 and 1132), nor is it subject to claims based on federal law (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 561, 562, 1120 and 1134). In addition, this objection is intended to preserve these issues until such time as each is resolved by the Arizona Supreme Court. (Uniform Objection Code No. 1130)

While this objection pertains to a specific Watershed File Report ("WFR"), Magma and ASARCO are objecting to each WFR that classifies a well as a "Zone 1 Well" or otherwise employs the "50% - 90 day standard" to create a presumption of a well's diversion of appropriable surface water.

With respect to this particular WFR, Magma and ASARCO presently believe that the subject well(s) is/are taking nonappropriable groundwater not subject to the Gila Adjudication. However, should it be determined that the well(s) is/are taking appropriable surface water, Magma and ASARCO object to such use where such taking is a diversion of surface water without an appropriative right under state law and/or is interfering with the water rights of Magma or ASARCO. (Uniform Objection Code Nos. 600, 610 and 1150)

Magma and ASARCO are also filing this objection to obtain notice and an opportunity to be heard on all issues in the event that claims to the groundwater referenced in claimant's WFR are adjudicated.

Attorneys for Magma:

Robert B. Hoffman (004415) Carlos D. Ronstadt (006468) Jeffrey W. Crockett (012672) SNELL & WILMER One Arizona Center Phoenix, Arizona 85004-0001 (602) 382 - 6000 Attorneys for ASARCO:

Burton M. Apker (001258) Gerrie Apker Kurtz (005637) APKER, APKER, HAGGARD & KURTZ, P.C. 2111 E. Highland, Suite 230 P.O. Box 10280 Phoenix, Arizona 85064-0280 (602) 381 - 0085